

Anomalous bunching of nearly indistinguishable bosons

Léo Pioge, Benoit Seron, Leonardo Novo, Nicolas J. Cerf

Hong-Ou-Mandel effect: distinguishable photons

Hong-Ou-Mandel effect: indistinguishable photons

▪ Destructive interferences lead to **Boson Bunching**

Hong-Ou-Mandel effect: partially distinguishable photons

▪ The more photons are indistinguishable, the more they **bunch!**

Many-particle interferences

- 1. Input state: single photons
- **Pure, non-entangled**

$$
\left|\Psi\right\rangle_{in}~=~\prod_{j=1}^{n}\hat{a}_{j,\phi_{j}}^{\dagger}\left|0\right\rangle
$$

2. Evolution of the quantum state

$$
\hat{a}_{j,\phi}^{\dagger} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \hat{U} \, \hat{a}_{j,\phi}^{\dagger} \, \hat{U}^{\dagger} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} U_{k,j} \, \hat{a}_{k,\phi}^{\dagger}, \quad \forall j, \forall \phi
$$

3. Photon-number-resolving detectors

Distinguishability with many-particle

▪ Sources of the distinguishability:

- \blacksquare Distinguishability matrix: $S_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle$
	- Three <u>in</u>distinguishable photons Three distinguishable photons

$$
S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
S=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}
$$

Single-mode bunching

All photons are measured in a single output mode

Multimode boson bunching

- **E** Multimode bunching probability:
- **Probability that all photons are measured in a subset of the output spatial mode**

▪ Which equation governs the multimode bunching probability?

Multimode boson bunching

 \blacksquare Multimode bunching probability: $\ P_b = \text{perm}\ (H \odot S)$

■ What is the impact of the distinguishability on the multimode bunching probability?

Do indistinguishable photons bunch more than partially distinguishable ones?

Physical conjecture P1: multimode bunching conjecture (Shchesnovich 2016) For any interferometer and any subset of output mode, the multimode bunching probability is maximized when the photons are indistinguishable. $P_b = \text{perm}(H \odot S) \overset{?}{\leq} \text{perm}(H)$

Evidences:

- *Numerical evidence*
- *Physical intuition*
- *Valid for single-mode boson bunching*
- *Valid for the fermionic equivalent of the boson bunching*
- *Valid for 3 photons or less*
- *Bapat-Sunder conjecture (1985)*

Mathematical conjecture M1

Mathematical conjecture M1: (Bapat-Sunder 1985) Let A and B be $n\times n_{\perp}$ positive semi-definite Hermitian matrices, then perm $(A \odot B) \leq$ perm (A) $\big| \big| B_{ii}$ $i=1$

perm
$$
(A \odot B) \le \text{perm } (A) \prod_{i=1}^{n} B_{ii} \xrightarrow{A=H} \text{perm } (H \odot S) \le \text{perm } (H)
$$

Bapat-Sunder conjecture (1985) \implies Multimode bunching conjecture (2016)

This physical conjecture is likely true!

Bapat-Sunder (1985) is false!

■ In 2016, Stephen Drury found a 7 x 7 counterexample to Bapat-Sunder (1985)

■ Can the counterexample to M1 be implemented in a physical setup?

Multimode bunching conjecture is false!

- **Distinguishability can enhance boson bunching! (anomalous bunching)**
- **E** Seven photons counterexample to the multimode bunching conjecture

The special status of indistinguishable bosons

- Question: does the state of indistinguishable bosons still have a special status?
- Answer: yes! The bunching probability of indistinguishable bosons is stationary!

$$
\ket{\phi_i} = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\ket{\phi_0} + \epsilon v_i \ket{\eta_i})
$$

- **E** Bunching probability: $P_b(\epsilon) = \text{perm}(H \odot S(\epsilon))$
- \blacksquare Stationarity, for every H :

$$
\left.\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial \epsilon}\right|_0 = 0
$$

Local version of the multimode bunching conjecture

■ Knowing the bunching probability is stationary,

Physical conjecture P2: a local version of the multimode bunching conjecture.

For any interferometer and any subset of output mode, *the state of indist. boson is a local maximum of the multimode bunching probability.*

 $P_b(0) \ge P_b(\epsilon)$, $\epsilon \ll 1$

Evidences:

■ 100 × 100

- *Physical intuition*
- *Bunching probability is stationary (indist. bosons)*
- *The counterexample of Drury is "far" from indist. bosons*
- *Bapat-Sunder conjecture (1986)*

Local version of the multimode bunching conjecture

E Let's consider the case of the polarization

$$
|\phi_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha_i} (|H\rangle + \epsilon v_i |V\rangle) \qquad S_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle = \frac{1 + \epsilon^2 v_i^* v_j}{\sqrt{(1 + \epsilon^2 |v_i|^2)(1 + \epsilon^2 |v_j|^2)}}
$$

■ Perturbative calculation of the multimode bunching probability

$$
P_b(\epsilon) = P_b(0) + \epsilon^2 \left(\lambda_{max}(F) - \text{perm}(H)\right) + O(\epsilon^4) \text{, with } F_{i,j} = H_{i,j} \text{perm}\left(H(i,j)\right)
$$

Indist. bosons

$$
\leq 0
$$

The physical conjecture P2 is true if $\lambda_{max}(F) \leq \text{perm}(H)$ for every H

Mathematical conjecture M2:

Mathematical conjecture M2: (Bapat-Sunder 1986) Let A be $n\times n$ positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix, then $\lambda_{max}(F) = \text{perm}(A)$, where $F_{i,j} = A_{i,j} \text{perm}(A(i,j))$

$$
P_b(\epsilon) = P_b(0) + \epsilon^2 \left(\boldsymbol{v}^\dagger F \boldsymbol{v} - \operatorname{perm}(H) \right) + O(\epsilon^4)
$$

$$
\leq 0
$$

- Local multimode bunching conjecture Bapat-Sunder conjecture (1986) ⟹
- The physical conjecture P2 is likely true!

Bapat-Sunder (1986) is false!

■ In 2018, Stephen Drury found a 8 x 8 counterexample to Bapat-Sunder (1986)

 $A=\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 106 & -91+6i & 28-38i & -53-59i & -1-81i & -15i & 66+9i & -48+29i\\ -91-6i & 107 & -76+30i & 24+77i & 30+67i & 17-36i & -19-29i & 58-64i\\ 28+38i & -76-30i & 108 & 38-76i & -30-16i & -24+82i & -50+58i & -48+64i\\ -53+59i & 24-77i & 38+76i & 90 & 22+14i$

$$
\lambda_{max}(F) = 3028080150918724811 \quad \lambda_{max}(F) \approx 1.017
$$
perm $(A) = 2977257622144118400 \quad \text{perm}(A) \approx 1.017$

■ Can the counterexample to M2 be implemented in a physical setup?

Physical conjecture P2 is false!

- Counterexample to P2:
	- 10 modes
	- 8 photons
	- **Bunching in 2 output modes**
- **·** Internal state:

$$
\quad \blacktriangleleft \; \ket{\phi_i} = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\ket{H} + \epsilon \, \left(\bm{v}_{\mathrm{max}}\right)_i \ket{V})
$$

$$
\text{ \textcolor{red}{\bullet} where } F \textbf{\textcolor{red}{v}}_{max} = \lambda_{max} \textbf{\textcolor{red}{v}}_{max} \big\vert \text{ \textcolor{red}{,} and } \lambda_{max} > \text{perm}\left(H\right)
$$

· Interferometer:

 $\;\blacksquare\;$ We converted the Drury matrix into a H matrix and an interferometer

Violation ratio of the counterexample

E Anomalous bunching with nearly indistinguishable photons!

Indistinguishability of the counterexample

■ The less photons are indistinguishable, the more they **bunch! Example 21/23**

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

 $V >$ quant-ph > arXiv:2308.12226 ar

Quantum Physics

[Submitted on 23 Aug 2023 (v1), last revised 31 Jul 2024 (this version, v2)]

Anomalous bunching of nearly indistinguishable bosons

Léo Pioge, Benoit Seron, Leonardo Novo, Nicolas J. Cerf

How to increase/decrease the bunching?

- **E** Restriction: • General perturbation:
 $\ket{\phi_i} = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\ket{\phi_0} + \epsilon v_i \ket{\eta_i})$ $\langle \phi_0 | \eta_i \rangle = 0, \ \forall i$
- \blacktriangleright Which $\ket{\eta_i}$ and \bm{v} maximally increase the bunching? $\ket{\phi_i} = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\ket{H} + \epsilon \left(\bm{v}_{\text{max}}\right)_i \ket{V})$, with $F\bm{v}_{max} = \lambda_{max}\bm{v}_{max}$
- $\textcolor{red}{\bullet}$ Which $\ket{\eta_i}$ and \bm{v} maximally decrease the bunching?

$$
\ket{\phi_i} = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\ket{H} + \epsilon (\bm{v}_{\min})_i \ket{V}), \quad \text{with} \quad F\bm{v}_{min} = \lambda_{min}\bm{v}_{min}
$$