



Anomalous bunching of nearly indistinguishable bosons

Léo Pioge, Benoit Seron, Leonardo Novo, Nicolas J. Cerf



Hong-Ou-Mandel effect: distinguishable photons



Hong-Ou-Mandel effect: <u>in</u>distinguishable photons



Destructive interferences lead to Boson Bunching

Hong-Ou-Mandel effect: <u>partially</u> distinguishable photons



The more photons are indistinguishable, the more they bunch!

Many-particle interferences

- 1. Input state: single photons
- Pure, non-entangled

$$|\Psi\rangle_{in} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \hat{a}_{j,\phi_j}^{\dagger} |0\rangle$$



2. Evolution of the quantum state

$$\hat{a}_{j,\phi}^{\dagger} \longrightarrow \hat{U} \hat{a}_{j,\phi}^{\dagger} \hat{U}^{\dagger} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} U_{k,j} \hat{a}_{k,\phi}^{\dagger}, \quad \forall j, \forall \phi$$

3. Photon-number-resolving detectors

Distinguishability with many-particle

Sources of the distinguishability:







- Distinguishability matrix: $S_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle$
 - Three <u>in</u>distinguishable photons

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Three distinguishable photons

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Single-mode bunching

All photons are measured in a single output mode



Multimode boson bunching

- Multimode bunching probability:
- Probability that all photons are measured in a subset of the output spatial mode



Which equation governs the multimode bunching probability?

Multimode boson bunching

Multimode bunching probability: $P_b = \operatorname{perm}\left(H \odot S\right)$



What is the impact of the distinguishability on the <u>multimode</u> bunching probability?

Do indistinguishable photons bunch more than partially distinguishable ones?

<u>Physical conjecture P1</u>: multimode bunching conjecture (Shchesnovich 2016) For any interferometer and any subset of output mode, the multimode bunching probability is maximized when the photons are <u>indistinguishable</u>.

$$P_b = \operatorname{perm}(H \odot S) \stackrel{?}{\leq} \operatorname{perm}(H)$$

Evidences:

- Numerical evidence
- Physical intuition
- Valid for single-mode boson bunching
- Valid for the fermionic equivalent of the boson bunching
- Valid for 3 photons or less
- Bapat-Sunder conjecture (1985)



Mathematical conjecture M1

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Mathematical conjecture M1}}: (\text{Bapat-Sunder 1985})\\ \text{Let } A \text{ and } B \text{ be } n \times n \text{ } \text{ positive semi-definite Hermitian matrices, then}\\ perm\left(A \odot B \right) \leq perm\left(A \right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} B_{ii} \end{array}$

$$\operatorname{perm}\left(A \odot B\right) \le \operatorname{perm}\left(A\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} B_{ii} \xrightarrow{A = H \\ B = S|} \operatorname{perm}\left(H \odot S\right) \le \operatorname{perm}\left(H\right)$$

• Bapat-Sunder conjecture (1985) \implies Multimode bunching conjecture (2016)

This physical conjecture is likely true!

Bapat-Sunder (1985) is false!

In 2016, Stephen Drury found a 7 x 7 counterexample to Bapat-Sunder (1985)



Can the counterexample to M1 be implemented in a physical setup?

Multimode bunching conjecture is false!

- Distinguishability can enhance boson bunching! (anomalous bunching)
- Seven photons counterexample to the multimode bunching conjecture







The special status of indistinguishable bosons

- Question: does the state of indistinguishable bosons still have a special status?
- <u>Answer:</u> yes! The bunching probability of indistinguishable bosons is stationary!

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(|\phi_0\rangle + \epsilon v_i |\eta_i\rangle)$$



- Bunching probability: $P_b(\epsilon) = \operatorname{perm}\left(H \odot S(\epsilon)\right)$
- Stationarity, for every H:

$$\left. \frac{\partial P_b}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_0 = 0$$

Local version of the multimode bunching conjecture

Knowing the bunching probability is stationary,

<u>Physical conjecture P2</u>: a local version of the multimode bunching conjecture.

For any interferometer and any subset of output mode, the state of indist. boson is a local maximum of the multimode bunching probability.

 $P_b(0) \ge P_b(\epsilon), \quad \epsilon \ll 1$

- Evidences:
 - Physical intuition
 - Bunching probability is stationary (indist. bosons)
 - The counterexample of Drury is "far" from indist. bosons
 - Bapat-Sunder conjecture (1986)



Local version of the multimode bunching conjecture

Let's consider the case of the polarization

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(|H\rangle + \epsilon v_i |V\rangle) \qquad \qquad S_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i |\phi_j\rangle = \frac{1 + \epsilon^2 v_i^* v_j}{\sqrt{(1 + \epsilon^2 |v_i|^2)(1 + \epsilon^2 |v_j|^2)}}$$

Perturbative calculation of the multimode bunching probability

$$P_{b}(\epsilon) = P_{b}(0) + \epsilon^{2} \left(\frac{\lambda_{max}(F) - \operatorname{perm}(H)}{\sum_{\text{Indist. bosons}} + O(\epsilon^{4})} \right) + O(\epsilon^{4}) \text{, with } F_{i,j} = H_{i,j} \operatorname{perm}(H(i,j))$$

• The physical conjecture P2 is true if $\lambda_{max}(F) \leq \operatorname{perm}(H)$ for every H

Mathematical conjecture M2:

<u>Mathematical conjecture M2</u>: (Bapat-Sunder 1986) Let A be $n \times n$ positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix, then $\lambda_{max}(F) = \text{perm}(A)$, where $F_{i,j} = A_{i,j} \text{perm}(A(i,j))$

$$P_b(\epsilon) = P_b(0) + \epsilon^2 \left(\boldsymbol{v}^{\dagger} F \boldsymbol{v} - \operatorname{perm}\left(H\right) \right) + O(\epsilon^4)$$

$$\leq 0$$

- Local multimode bunching conjecture \implies Bapat-Sunder conjecture (1986)
- The physical conjecture P2 is likely <u>true!</u>

Bapat-Sunder (1986) is false!

In 2018, Stephen Drury found a 8 x 8 counterexample to Bapat-Sunder (1986)

 $A = \begin{pmatrix} 106 & -91 + 6i & 28 - 38i & -53 - 59i & -1 - 81i & -15i & 66 + 9i & -48 + 29i \\ -91 - 6i & 107 & -76 + 30i & 24 + 77i & 30 + 67i & 17 - 36i & -19 - 29i & 58 - 64i \\ 28 + 38i & -76 - 30i & 108 & 38 - 76i & -30 - 16i - 24 + 82i - 50 + 58i - 48 + 64i \\ -53 + 59i & 24 - 77i & 38 + 76i & 90 & 22 + 14i & -43 + 24i - 76 + 13i - 36 - 27i \\ -1 + 81i & 30 - 67i & -30 + 16i & 22 - 14i & 102 & 37 - 56i & 38 + 33i & -85i \\ 15i & 17 + 36i & -24 - 82i - 43 - 24i & 37 + 56i & 97 & 52 + 62i & 77 - 7i \\ 66 - 9i & -19 + 29i - 50 - 58i - 76 - 13i & 38 - 33i & 52 - 62i & 101 & 18 - 23i \\ -48 - 29i & 58 + 64i & -48 - 64i - 36 + 27i & 85i & 77 + 7i & 18 + 23i & 99 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\lambda_{max}(F) = 3028080150918724811$$

perm (A) = 2977257622144118400
$$\frac{\lambda_{max}(F)}{\text{perm}(A)} \approx 1.017$$

Can the counterexample to M2 be implemented in a physical setup?

Physical conjecture P2 is false!

- Counterexample to P2:
 - 10 modes
 - 8 photons
 - Bunching in 2 output modes
- Internal state:

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(|H\rangle + \epsilon (\boldsymbol{v}_{\max})_i |V\rangle)$$

• where
$$Fm{v}_{max}=\lambda_{max}m{v}_{max}$$
 , and $\lambda_{max}>\mathrm{perm}\left(H
ight)$

Interferometer:

 $\hfill\blacksquare$ We converted the Drury matrix into a H matrix and an interferometer





Violation ratio of the counterexample



Anomalous bunching with nearly indistinguishable photons!

Indistinguishability of the counterexample



The less photons are indistinguishable, the more they **bunch!**







THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

 $\exists \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{i} \mathbf{V} > quant-ph > arXiv:2308.12226$

Quantum Physics

[Submitted on 23 Aug 2023 (v1), last revised 31 Jul 2024 (this version, v2)]

Anomalous bunching of nearly indistinguishable bosons

Léo Pioge, Benoit Seron, Leonardo Novo, Nicolas J. Cerf



How to increase/decrease the bunching?

- General perturbation:
 $|\phi_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(|\phi_0\rangle + \epsilon v_i |\eta_i\rangle)$ Restriction:
 $\langle \phi_0 | \eta_i \rangle = 0, \forall i$
- Which $|\eta_i\rangle$ and \boldsymbol{v} maximally increase the bunching? $|\phi_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(|H\rangle + \epsilon \ (\boldsymbol{v}_{\max})_i |V\rangle)$, with $F \boldsymbol{v}_{max} = \lambda_{max} \boldsymbol{v}_{max}$
- Which $|\eta_i
 angle$ and $oldsymbol{v}$ maximally decrease the bunching?

$$\ket{\phi_i} = rac{1}{lpha_i} (\ket{H} + \epsilon \; (oldsymbol{v}_{\min})_i \ket{V})$$
, with $Foldsymbol{v}_{min} = \lambda_{min} oldsymbol{v}_{min}$